IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: <u>2023-027889-CA-01</u>

SECTION: CA23

JUDGE: Barbara Areces

Jennifer Waller et al

Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Times Publishing Company

Defendant(s)

FINAL JUDGMENT

On December 28, 2024, the Court granted preliminary approval to the proposed class action settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs Jennifer Waller, Lewis Darden, and Sal Rivera, on behalf of themselves and all members of the Settlement Class, and Defendant Times Publishing Company ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"). The Court also provisionally certified the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, approved the procedure for giving Class Notice to the members of the Settlement Class, and set a Final Approval Hearing to take place on April 1, 2024 at 1:00 p.m.

On March 18, 2024, Plaintiffs submitted to the Court their Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval") which, *inter alia*, set forth the legal and factual grounds for the Court to finally approve the Agreement, certify a settlement class for settlement purposes only, and find that the notice given to the Class constituted the best notice practicable and comported with due process requirements and the procedural requirements of Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220.

As set forth in Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval, the Parties implemented the Notice plan and provided the Notice as approved and ordered by the Court. The settlement administrator

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 1 of 11

has implemented the Court-approved notice plan and direct notice has reached 90% of the identified potential Settlement Class. The reaction from the Settlement Class has been overwhelmingly positive: Of the nearly 384,936 identified potential Settlement Class Members, only three (3) have requested to be excluded. And **zero** (0) have objected.

Since the deadline for Objections has ended and there being no objectors to the Settlement, the Court finds this matter ripe for adjudication without a Final Approval Hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

I. JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

- 1. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties and the Settlement Class Members, venue is proper, and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction to approve the Agreement, including all exhibits thereto, and to enter this Final Approval Order. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order, this Court hereby retains jurisdiction as to all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Approval Order, and for any other necessary purpose.
- 2. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's length by experienced counsel who were fully informed of the facts and circumstances of this litigation (the "Action") and of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions. The Settlement Agreement was reached after the Parties had engaged in a mediation and extensive settlement discussions and after the exchange of information, including information about the size and scope of the Settlement Class. Counsel for the Parties were therefore well positioned to evaluate the benefits of the Settlement Agreement, taking into account the expense, risk, and uncertainty of protracted litigation.
- 3. The Court finds that the prerequisites for a class action under Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.220 have been satisfied for settlement purposes for each Settlement Class Member in that: (a) the

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 2 of 11

number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) Plaintiffs have and will continue to fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into the Settlement Agreement; (e) the questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting any individual Settlement Class Member; (f) the Settlement Class is ascertainable; and (g) a class action is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

II. CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS

4. Pursuant to Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.220, this Court hereby finally certifies the Settlement Class, as identified in the Settlement Agreement: "All Persons in the United States who from January 18, 2021 and through the date the settlement is preliminarily approved (the "Class Period") were subscribers with activated digital access or an email newsletter recipient to the Tampa Bay Times. The Settlement Class excludes company-issued subscriptions to employees with @tampabay.com accounts." The Settlement Class excludes the following: (1) the trial judge presiding over this case; (2) Defendant, as well as any parent, subsidiary, affiliate, or control person of Defendant, and the officers, directors, agents, servants, or employees of Defendant; (3) any of the Released Parties; (4) the immediate family of any such person(s); (5) any Settlement Class Member who has timely opted out of this proceeding; and (6) Plaintiffs' Counsel, their employees, and their immediate family.

III. APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVE AND CLASS COUNSEL

5. The Court finally appoints Adam A. Schwartzbaum of Edelsberg Law, P.A.; Andrew J. Shamis and Edwin E. Elliott of Shamis & Gentile, P.A.; and Nicholas Coulson of Liddle Sheets Coulson P.C. as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 3 of 11

6. The Court finally designates Plaintiffs Jennifer Waller, Lewis Darden, and Sal Rivera as the Class Representatives.

IV. NOTICE AND CLAIMS PROCESS

- 7. The Court makes the following findings on notice to the Settlement Class:
- (a) The Court finds that the distribution of the Notice, as provided for in the Settlement Agreement, (i) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances to Settlement Class Members, (ii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of, among other things, the pendency of the Action, the nature and terms of the proposed Settlement, their right to object or to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement, and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, (iii) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice, and (iv) complied fully with the requirements of Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.220, the United States Constitution, the Rules of this Court, and any other applicable law.
- (b) The Court finds that the Notice and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Final Approval Order (i) constitute the most effective and practicable notice of the Final Approval Order, the relief available to Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Final Approval Order, and applicable time periods; (ii) constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice for all other purposes to all Settlement Class Members; and (iii) comply fully with the requirements of Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.220, the United States Constitution, the Rules of this Court, and any other applicable law.

V. FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

8. The Settlement Agreement is finally approved in all respects as fair, reasonable and adequate. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including all Exhibits thereto,

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 4 of 11

have been entered into in good faith and are hereby fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, each of the Parties and the Settlement Class Members.

VI. ADMINISTRATION OF THE SETTLEMENT

- 9. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions. The Settlement Administrator is directed to pay those Settlement Class Members who submit Approved Claims within the time period and manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court hereby approves Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and awards Class Counsel \$237,500.00 as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, inclusive of the award of reasonable costs incurred in this Action. The Court finds that the requested fees are reasonable under the percentage of the fund for the reasons set forth herein. The award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel shall be paid from the Settlement Fund within the time period and manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel for their time incurred and expenses advanced. The Court has concluded that: (a) Class Counsel achieved a favorable result for the Class by obtaining Defendant's agreement to make significant funds available to Settlement Class Members, subject to submission of valid claims by eligible Settlement Class Members; (b) Class Counsel devoted substantial effort to pre- and post-filing investigation, legal analysis, and litigation; (c) Class Counsel prosecuted the Settlement Class's claims on a contingent fee basis, investing significant time and accumulating costs with no guarantee that they would receive compensation for their services or recover their expenses; (d) Class Counsel employed their knowledge of and experience with class action litigation in achieving a valuable settlement for the Settlement Class, in spite of Defendant's possible legal defenses and its experienced and capable

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 5 of 11

counsel; (e) Class Counsel have standard contingent fee agreements with Plaintiffs, who have reviewed the Settlement Agreement and been informed of Class Counsel's fee request and have approved; and (f) the Notice informed Settlement Class Members of the amount and nature of Class Counsel's fee and cost request under the Settlement Agreement in time for Settlement Class Members to make a meaningful decision whether to object to the Class Counsel's fee request, and no Settlement Class Member(s) objected.

12. The Court awards each Plaintiff an incentive award in the amount of \$5,000.00 payable pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

VII. RELEASE OF CLAIMS

- 13. Upon entry of this Final Approval Order, all members of the Class who did not validly and timely submit requests for exclusion in the manner provided in the Agreement shall, by operation of this Final Approval Order, have fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged Defendant and each of its related and affiliated entities as well as all Released Parties from the Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Furthermore, all members of the Class who did not validly and timely submit requests for exclusion in the manner provided in the Agreement are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in, participating in, conducting or continuing, either directly or in any other capacity, either individually or as a class, any action or proceeding in any court, agency, arbitration, tribunal or jurisdiction, asserting any claims released pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, or seeking an award of fees and costs of any kind or nature whatsoever and pursuant to any authority or theory whatsoever, relating to or arising from the Action or that could have been brought in the Action and/or as a result of or in addition to those provided by the Settlement Agreement.
 - 15. The terms of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Approval Order, including

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 6 of 11

all Exhibits thereto, shall be forever binding on, and shall have *res judicata* and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits maintained by Plaintiffs and all other Settlement Class Members, as well as their heirs, executors and administrators, successors, and assigns.

- 16. The Releases, which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement and which are also set forth below, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects and are effective as of the date of this Final Approval Order; and the Released Parties (as that term is defined below and in the Settlement Agreement) are forever released, relinquished, and discharged by the Releasing Parties (as that term is defined below and in the Settlement Agreement) from all Released Claims (as that term is defined below and in the Settlement Agreement).
- (a) The Settlement Agreement and Releases do not affect the rights of Settlement Class Members who timely and properly submit a request for exclusion from the Settlement in accordance with the requirements of the Settlement Agreement.
- (b) The administration and consummation of the Settlement as embodied in the Settlement Agreement shall be under the authority of the Court. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to protect, preserve, and implement the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, enforcement of the Releases. The Court expressly retains jurisdiction in order to enter such further orders as may be necessary or appropriate in administering and implementing the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- (c) The Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive remedy for any and all Settlement Class Members, except those who have properly requested exclusion (opted out), and the Released Parties shall not be subject to liability or expense for any of the Released Claims to any Settlement Class Member(s).
- (d) The Releases shall not preclude any action to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including participation in any of the processes detailed therein. The

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 7 of 11

Releases set forth herein and in the Settlement Agreement are not intended to include the release of any rights or duties of the Parties arising out of the Settlement Agreement, including the express warranties and covenants contained therein.

17. Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members who did not timely exclude themselves from the Settlement Class are, from this day forward, hereby permanently barred and enjoined from directly or indirectly: (i) asserting any Released Claims in any action or proceeding; (ii) filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating in (as class members or otherwise), any lawsuit based on or relating to any the Released Claims or the facts and circumstances relating thereto; or (iii) organizing any Settlement Class Members into a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action any lawsuit (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or seeking class certification in a pending action) based on or relating to any of the Released Claims.

VIII. NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

- 18. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, nor any of the documents or statements referred to therein, nor this Final Approval Order, nor any of its terms and provisions, shall be:
- (a) offered by any person or received against Defendant or any Released Paries as evidence of, or construed as or deemed to be evidence of, any presumption, concession, or admission by Defendant of the truth of the facts alleged by any person, the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any other litigation or judicial or administrative proceeding, the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing by Defendant or any Released Parties;
 - (b) offered by any person or received against Defendant or any Released Parties

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 8 of 11

as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission of any fault or violation of any law by Defendant or any Released Parties; or

(c) offered by any person or received against Defendant or any Released Parties as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding.

IX. OTHER PROVISIONS

- 19. This Final Approval Order and the Settlement Agreement (including the Exhibits thereto) may be filed in any action against or by any Released Parties (as that term is defined herein and the Settlement Agreement) to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 20. Without further order of the Court, the Parties may agree to reasonably necessary extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 21. In the event that the Effective Date does not occur, this Final Approval Order shall automatically be rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and released delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void. In the event that the Effective Date does not occur, the Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and be of no further force and effect, neither the Settlement Agreement nor the Court's Orders, including this Order, shall be used or referred to for any purpose whatsoever, and the Parties shall retain, without prejudice, any and all objections, arguments, and defenses with respect to class certification, including the right to argue that no class should be certified for any purpose, and with respect to the merits of any claims, defenses, or allegations in this Action.
 - 22. This Action, including all individual claims and class claims presented herein,

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 9 of 11

is hereby dismissed on the merits and with prejudice against Plaintiffs and all other Settlement Class Members, without fees or costs to any party except as otherwise provided herein. Finding that there is no just reason for delay, the Court orders that this Final Approval Order shall constitute a final judgment.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Chambers at Miami-Dade County, Florida on this <u>30th day of March</u>, 2024.

1023 025 3 -- A-01 03 30-2024 12:32 A

2023-027889-CA-01 03-30-2024 12:32 AM

Hon. Barbara Areces

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE Electronically Signed

Final Order as to All Parties SRS #: 12 (Other)

THE COURT DISMISSES THIS CASE AGAINST ANY PARTY NOT LISTED IN THIS FINAL ORDER OR PREVIOUS ORDER(S). THIS CASE IS CLOSED AS TO ALL PARTIES.

Electronically Served:

Aaron S. Weiss, aweiss@carltonfields.com

Aaron S. Weiss, schacon@carltonfields.com

Aaron Stenzler Weiss, aweiss@carltonfields.com

Aaron Stenzler Weiss, schacon@carltonfields.com

Adam Schwartzbaum, adam@edelsberglaw.com

Andrew J Shamis, Ashamis@shamisgentile.com

Andrew J Shamis, sbabani@shamisgentile.com

Andrew J Shamis, crosario@shamisgentile.com

Angelica Gentile, efilings@shamisgentile.com

Chris Gold, Chris@edelsberglaw.com

Christopher Berman, cberman@shamisgentile.com

David Arnold Karp, dkarp@carltonfields.com

David Arnold Karp, miaecf@cfdom.net

David Arnold Karp, gdebrosse@carltonfields.com

Edwin Elliott, Edwine@shamisgentile.com

Edwin Elliott, edwine@shamisgentile.com

Garrett Berg, gberg@shamisgentile.com

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 10 of 11

Leanna Loginov, lloginov@shamisgentile.com Scott Edelsberg, Scott@edelsberglaw.com Scott Edelsberg, Stephanie@edelsberglaw.com

Physically Served:

Case No: 2023-027889-CA-01 Page 11 of 11